## **PATENT**

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

re application of: Motoyuki FUJIMORI

erial No.: 09/912,070

Group No.: 2851

Filed: July 24, 2001

Examiner.: A.T. Sever

For: PROJECTOR

Attorney Docket No.: U 013566-9

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## RENEWED PETITION TO REVIVE

The decision on petition mailed 4 December 2006 dismisses Applicant's petition under

## 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed November 2, 2006, because it allegedly does not satisfy requirement CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.8(a) and 1.10\* (When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory; Express Mail certification is optional.). I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being: MAILING $\boxtimes$ deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. 37 C.F.R. 1.8(a) 37 C.F.R. 1.10\* $\boxtimes$ with sufficient postage as first class mail. as "Express Mail Post Office to Address" Mailing Label No. TRANSMISSION transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office. to (571)-273-8300 Date: January 5, 2007 Signature CLIFFORD J. MASS (type or print hame of person certifying) \*WARNING: Each paper or fee filed by "Express Mail" must have the number of the "Express Mail" mailing label placed thereon prior to mailing. 37 C.F.R. 1.10(b).

"Since the filing of correspondence under § 1.10 without the Express Mail mailing label thereon is an oversight that can be avoided by the exercise of reasonable care, requests for waiver of this requirement will not be granted on petition." Notice of Oct. 24, 1996, 60 Fed. Reg. 56,439, at 56,442.

(1) under 37 CFR 1.137(b) (the reply required to the outstanding Office action). The Petitions Attorney contends that a proper reply to the outstanding Office action must include either a Notice of Appeal and requisite fee, a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) accompanied by a proper submission, or the filing of a continuing application.

Although Applicant respectfully disagrees that the previous reply was inadequate, he submits herewith a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) with a proper submission. The submission is a full and complete response to the final rejection, including the submission of proper replacement drawings, and an Information Disclosure Statement.

In view of the above, Applicant respectfully submits that all requirements for a grantable petition have been satisfied. In this respect, the undersigned again confirms on behalf of the Applicant that this application became abandoned because the failure to prosecute was an unintentional delay, and that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the required reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. Revival of the application is earnestly solicited and is believed to be fully warranted.

Applicant submits herewith a check for \$790 for the required fee (large entity) for filing the Request for Continued Examination. Please charge deposit account 22-0425 for any additional fee required or credit this account for any overpayment.

Respectfully submitted,

OLIFFORD J. MASS

LADAS & PARRY LLP

26 WEST 61ST STREET

NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10023

REG. NO.30,086(212)708-1890